

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 DAINÉ ANTON CRAWLEY,
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Petitioner,

v.

NETHANJAH BREITENBACH, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:24-cv-00252-ART-CLB

ORDER

10 In this habeas corpus action, after a 90-day initial period, a 90-day
11 extension, and a 60-day extension, the petitioner, Daine Anton Crawley,
12 represented by appointed counsel, was due to file an amended habeas petition
13 by May 23, 2025. (See ECF Nos. 9, 13, 15.) On May 22, 2025, Crawley filed a
14 motion for extension of time (ECF No. 16), requesting a further 60-day extension,
15 to July 22, 2025. Crawley's counsel states that the extension is necessary
16 because of the time it is taking to investigate the case and meet with Crawley,
17 and because of obligations in other cases. Crawley's counsel represents that
18 Respondents do not oppose the extension of time. The Court finds that the
19 motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose
20 of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time.

21 Nothing in this order, or in any order extending time for an amended
22 petition, is meant as a comment upon, or to affect in any manner, the operation
23 of any statute of limitations.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF
2 No. 16) is granted. Petitioner will have until and including July 22, 2025, to file
3 an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus. In all other respects, the schedule
4 for further proceedings set forth in the scheduling order entered September 26,
5 2024 (ECF No. 9) will remain in effect.

6
7 Dated this 28th day of May, 2025.

8 

9 _____
10 ANNE R. TRAUM
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28